



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/572,762

03/21/2006

Peter Asplund

GTE-07-1052US

3340

35811 7590 04/17/2009  
IP GROUP OF DLA PIPER US LLP  
ONE LIBERTY PLACE  
1650 MARKET ST, SUITE 4900  
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103

EXAMINER

CHAUDHRY, SAEED T

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1792

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

04/17/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/572,762	<b>Applicant(s)</b> ASPLUND ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Saeed T. Chaudhry	<b>Art Unit</b> 1792	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Saeed T. Chaudhry. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Mr. Richard L. Cruz. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 14 April 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic    b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant    2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes    e) ☐ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-7 and 15.

Identification of prior art discussed: Kukesh et al. and Hughes.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached.    g) ☐ was not reached.    h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant explain that the Kukesh et al. disclosed nozzle has single orifice for liquid and plurality of orifices for air which sprays towards central axis for controlling the resin film and Hughes discloses a single opening 97 for mixing air stream utilizing sonic waves. The explanation over come the cited art rejection and examiner will reconsider the claims upon receiving the amentdments.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Saeed Chaudhry/	/Michael Barr/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1792
------------------	--